	A	[A (!
Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/673,230	LUSK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)	
(2) <u>Nicolai Mersersau</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1-10 Sept 2005.		-
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 22-38.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>no</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>examiner called for restriction between species 1, claims 22-27 and 34-36 - can have dibutylphthalate in propellant, and species 2, dibutylphthalate-free propellant, claims 28-33 Applicant requested to think it over and will call examiner back. Applicant called back week ending 9/10/2005 and elected claims 22-27 and 34-36 traversig the right to file these claims in acontinuing application.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required